Richard Nixon Presidential Library Contested Materials Collection Folder List

Box Number	Folder Number	Document Date	No Date	Subject	Document Type	Document Description
47	31	12/17/1971		Campaign	Memo	From Khachigian to Buchanan RE: info on requested early primaries. 3 pgs.
47	31	12/15/1971		Campaign	Memo	From Khachigian to Whitaker RE: Gallup Poll results. 4 pgs.

Monday, April 13, 2015 Page 1 of 1

,		DOCUMENT WITHDRAWAL RECORD [NIXON PROJECT]		
DOCUMENT NUMBER	DOCUMENT TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE OR CORRESPONDENTS	DATE	RESTRICTION
N-1 [Doc 109]	Mem	Khachizin of Brehaman, re- larly primaries, with attacked droft copy	וראדו/בו	coin
Doc 110]	mens	Khachgian to whitaker, re: Fallip Poll, with attacked draft copy	12/15/71	coning
N-3	meno		12/7/71	c (Mix
N-4 [Doc 112]	meno	Khach grån to Bechan, re:	וראראבו	c (nix
	*			
FILE GROUP	TITLE		BOX NUMBE	R_

FOLDER TITLE

RESTRICTION CODES

- A. Release would violate a Federal statute or Agency Policy. B. National security classified information.
- C. Pending or approved claim that release would violate an individual's
- D. Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy or a libel of a living person.

- E. Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information.
- F. Release would disclose investigatory information compiled for law enforcement purposes.
- G. Withdrawn and return private and personal material.
- H. Withdrawn and returned non-historical material.

Presidential Materials Review Board Review on Contested Documents

Collection: Kenneth L. Khachigian

Box Number:

5

Folder: December [1971]

Document	<u>Disposit</u>	<u>Disposition</u>			
109	Return	Private/Political			
110	Return	Private/Political			
111	Retain	Open			
112	Retain	Open			

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

DETERMINED TO BE AN
ADMINISTRATIVE MARKING
E.O. 12065, Section 6-102
By______NARS, Date_2-2-21____

December 17, 1971

CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR PATRICK J. BUCHANAN

FROM: KENNETH L. KHACHIGIAN

Here is some basic information you wanted on the early primaries. Basically, it involves crossover voting and the like.

In New Hampshire, an individual has to vote on their party's ballot. Once they declare their party affiliation, they must vote on that ballot. They can write in names of other party candidates, but those votes don't count towards delegate apportionment. Note that in New Hampshire independents may vote in either party's primary. Pete McCloskey is getting the kids to register as independents to do this very thing.

In Florida, once a person is registered in a party, he can't vote in the other party's primary.

In Illinois when the person goes to vote, he signs an affidavit declaring whether he is a Dem or Republican and he gets that party's ballot and he can vote only on that ballot.

In Wisconsin, there is no registration. People go to the polls, get two ballots (one Republican and one Dem). They vote on one ballot and throw the other away. Thus, crossover voting is essentially allowed and it may be in our interest to have Republicans vote on the Dem ballot for HHH.

Note: per your question on whether we are O.K. in Illinois, I checked with Magruder. Jeb said everything is under control and that all districts will have slates running pledged to RN. Our machinery is deciding which delegates are running, and there is no chance that McCloskey (or Ashbrook) can slip in slates to beat us -- at least those chances have been minimized. All voting is for district delegates and there is not a statewide vote for a particular candidate.

MEMORANDUM FOR PATRICK J. BUCHANAN

FROM: KENNETH L. KHACHIGIAN

Here is some basic information you wanted on the early primaries. Basically, it involves crossover wing and the like.

In New Hampshire, in an individual has to vote on their party's walk ballot. Once they decade their party affiliation, they is must vote on that ballot. They can write in names of other party candidates, but those votes don't count towards delegate apportionment. Note that in New Hampshire, independents may vote in either party's primary. Pete McCloskey is getting the kids to register as independents to do this very thing.

 $I_{\mathbf{n}}$ Florida, once a person is registered in a party, he can't vote in the other party's primary.

In Illinois when the person goes to vote, he signes an affidavit declaring whether he is a Dem or Republican and he gets that party's here ballot and he can wage vote only on that ballot.

In Wisconsin, there is no registration. People go to the polls, get two batlots (one Republican and one Dem). They vote on one ballot and throw the other away. Thus, crossover voting is essentially allowed and it may be in our interest to have Republicans vote on the Dem ballot for HHH.

Note: per your question on whether we are O.K. in Illinois,

I checked with Magruder. ZEM Jeb said everything is under control and that all A districts will have slates running pledged to RN. Our machinery is deciding which delegates are running, and there is no chance that McCloskey (or Ashbrook) can slip in slates to beat us -- at ZEMA least those chances have here been mimimized. Allxhamking voting is for district delegates and there is not a statewide & vote for a particular candidate.

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

December 15, 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR JOHN C. WHITAKER

FROM: KENNETH L. KHACHIGIAN

SUBJECT: GALLUP POLL

As in the past, we have received information on the latest trial heats breaking out farmer opinion from the general sample. Here are the latest findings (note that McGovern has been included for the first time).

	RN	Muskie	Wallace	Und.
National	44%	41%	10%	5%
Farmers	51	34	10	5
	RN	EMK	Wallace	Und.
National	44%	41%	10%	5%
Farmers	45	41	10	4
	RN	ННН	Wallace	Und.
National	47%	37%	12%	4%
Farmers	45	41	10	4
•				
	RN	McGovern	Wallace	Und.
National	49%	33%	12%	6%
Farmers	59	18	14	9

You should note that the interview dates for this polling were November 19-22 -- during the confirmation fight for Earl Butz. That may account for the softening of support vis 'a vis the opposition. Muskie pulled up a little bit, as did Kennedy. Humphrey made an enormous jump since the last poll -- perhaps reflecting his outspokenness during the Butz confirmation. McGovern, who is supposed to be the farmer's friend, gets absolutely wiped out by RN.

Please compare these figures with the ones included in a memo I sent you on November 22. I send these with the usual caveats that I don't take complete stock in their accuracy while believing they help show trends.

DCC: Buchanon

MEMORANDUM FOR JOHN C. WHITAKER

FROM: KENNETH L. KHACHIGIAN

SUBJECT: GALLUPK POLL

As in the past, we have received information on the latest trial heats breaking out farmer opinion from the general sample. Here are the latest findings (note that McGovern has been included for the first time).

(insert poll results)

should

You were November 19-22 -- during the confirmation fight for Earl Butz. That may account for the softening of support vis a vis the opposition. Muskie pulled up a little bit, as did Kennedy. Humphrey made an enormous jump since the last poll -- perhaps reflecting his outspokenness during the Butz confirmation. McGovern, who is supposed to be the farmer's friend, gets absolutely wiped out by RN.

Please compare these figures with the ones included in a memo I desired you on November 22. I send these with the usual caveats that I don't take complete stock in their accuracy while believing helps shows trends.

poll appeared December 10 and December 13

	RN	Muskie	W allace	Und.
Natinl	44%	41%	10%	5%
Farmers	51	34	10	5
	RN	EMK	WA A lace	Und.
Natl	44%	41%	10%	5%
Farmers	45	41	10	4
	RN	ННН	Wallace	Und.
Natl.	47%	37%	12%	4%
Farmers	45	41	10	4
	RN	McGovern	Wallace	Und.
Natil.	49%	33%	12%	6%
Farmers	59	18	14 9	
				/